

BEFORE THE INDIAN CLAIMS COMMISSION

THE CADDO TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA, IN ITS OWN)
 RIGHT AND DAN MADRANO, LLOYD TOUNWIN AND)
 ANDREW DUNLAP ON RELATION OF THE CADDO)
 TRIBE OF INDIANS AND THE CADDO TRIBE OF)
 OKLAHOMA EACH ON BEHALF OF OTHERS)
 SIMILARLY SITUATED AND ON BEHALF OF THE)
 CADDO TRIBE AND VARIOUS BANDS AND GROUPS)
 OF EACH OF THEM COMPRISING THE CADDO)
 TRIBE AND NATION,)

Plaintiffs,)

THE ALABAMA-COUSHATTA INDIANS OF TEXAS)
 and the COUSHATTA INDIANS OF LOUISIANA,)

Intervenors,)

THE WICHITA INDIAN TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA AND)
 BANDS AND GROUPS WHICH HAVE BEEN OR WHICH)
 ARE AFFILIATED WITH THE WICHITA INDIAN)
 TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA, INCLUDING BUT NOT)
 LIMITED TO THE WICHITA, WACOS, KEECHIS)
 AND TOWACONIES,)

Second Intervenors,)

TONKAWA TRIBE OF INDIANS OF OKLAHOMA)
 amalgamated with and successors in interest)
 to the TEXAS TONKAWA TRIBE and the TEXAS)
 LIPAN TRIBE and the TEXAS KARANKAWA TRIBE,)

Third Intervenors,)

v.)

Docket No. 226

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

Defendant,)

DELAWARE TRIBE OF INDIANS OF WESTERN)
 OKLAHOMA,)

Applicants for)
Intervention.)

ORDER DENYING MOTION OF THE DELAWARE TRIBE
OF INDIANS OF WESTERN OKLAHOMA TO INTERVENE

UPON CONSIDERATION of the motion of the above-captioned applicants for intervention, the Delaware Tribe of Indians of Western Oklahoma, filed on March 1, 1972, for leave to intervene in the above-styled proceedings; the response of the plaintiff, the Caddo Tribe of Oklahoma, et al., filed on March 6, 1972; the response of the second intervenors, the Wichita Indian Tribe of Oklahoma, et al., filed on March 10, 1972; the response of the defendant filed on March 10, 1972; and

THE COMMISSION having concluded that said motion should be denied on the grounds that the above-captioned applicants have failed to allege rights to any tract of land, title to which is in issue here; that to the extent said applicants are alleging rights by virtue of affiliation with the Wichita Indian Tribe, et al., the interests of said applicants will be adequately protected by the Wichita Indian Tribe, et al.; and that said motion to intervene is not timely and will substantially prejudice the interests of the parties herein and the orderly progress of this litigation,

IT IS ORDERED that said motion to intervene be, and the same is hereby, denied.

Dated at Washington, D. C., this 17th day of March 1972.

Jerome K. Kuykendall, Chairman



John T. Vance, Commissioner



Richard W. Yarborough, Commissioner

Margaret H. Pierce, Commissioner



Brantley Blue, Commissioner