

BEFORE THE INDIAN CLAIMS COMMISSION

SHOSHONE-BANNOCK TRIBES, Fort Hall, Idaho,	)	Docket Nos. 326-D,
	)	326-E, 326-F, 326-G
SHOSHONE TRIBE, represented by the Shoshone	)	
Tribe of Indians of the Wind River Reservation	)	Docket No. 326-H
and the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, Fort Hall,	)	
Idaho,	)	
	)	
THE BANNACK TRIBE, on the relation of and	)	
represented by Russell Pokibro, a member	)	Docket No. 366
of said Bannack Tribe,	)	
	)	
SHOSHONE NATION OR TRIBE OF INDIANS, on the	)	
relation of and represented by Edward Queep	)	
Boyer, Joseph "Frank" Thorpe, Jr., and	)	Docket No. 367
Jeannette Pocatello Lewis; members of the	)	
Shoshone Nation or Tribe of Indians,	)	Consolidated
	)	
Petitioners,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

Decided: February 13, 1968

Appearances:

Robert W. Barker, with whom were Ernest L. Wilkinson, Glen A. Wilkinson, Herbert E. Marks, and the law firm of Wilkinson, Cragun & Barker, Attorneys for Petitioners

John D. Sullivan, with whom was Mr. Assistant Attorney General, Clyde O. Martz, Attorneys for Defendant

OPINION OF THE COMMISSION

Holt, Commissioner, delivered the opinion of the Commission.

The subject consolidated matter has been presented to the Commission for consideration of a stipulation for compromise settlement and for

entry of a final judgment. In our Findings of Fact Nos. 1 through 42, which we have this date filed in this consolidated proceeding, we have set forth in detail all the material facts concerning the claims involved in this settlement, the terms of the settlement, and its approval by the parties concerned.

A hearing on the proposed settlement was conducted on November 9, 1967, at which time the Commission received in evidence documentary evidence in support of the settlement and testimony was presented from a number of the various Indian groups concerned.

Taking into consideration all of the records before the Commission in the subject claims, all of the evidence which has been presented and the statements of counsel, the Commission has found that the proposed settlement is fair and just to the Indian petitioners and to the defendant. The settlement has been explained to all the Indian groups involved, and they have indicated their approval of the settlement and have executed the stipulation for compromise settlement. As expressly set forth in the stipulation, the entry of final judgment in this consolidated matter finally disposes of all claims or demands which any of the petitioners represented in any of the subject docketed cases have asserted or could have asserted against the defendant in any of said cases and the petitioners are barred from asserting all such claims or demands in any future action.

Therefore, in conformity with our Findings of Fact and this Opinion, a final judgment will be entered.

/s/ Wm. M. Holt  
Wm. M. Holt, Commissioner

We concur:

/s/ T. Harold Scott  
T. Harold Scott, Commissioner

/s/ Jerome K. Kuykendall  
Jerome K. Kuykendall, Commissioner

/s/ John T. Vance  
John T. Vance, Commissioner

/s/ Richard W. Yarborough  
Richard W. Yarborough, Commissioner