

## BEFORE THE INDIAN CLAIMS COMMISSION

HANNAHVILLE INDIANS, ET AL.,	)	
DOCKET NO. 29-D,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
HANNAHVILLE INDIANS, ET AL.,	)	
DOCKET NO. 29-E,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Docket No. 29-D and
	)	Docket No. 29-E
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

ORDER DENYING PETITIONERS' MOTION TO INSERT THE  
NAME OF "ALBERT MACKETY" AS PARTY PLAINTIFF AND  
GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS TO DISMISS THE PETITIONS

On May 29, 1959, the defendant filed identical motions to dismiss the petitions in the above captioned matter. On October 14, 1959, the petitioners filed opposition thereto, and on December 14, 1959, the petitioners filed a supplement to their opposition previously filed, including therein a motion to insert in the petitions in the above dockets the name of Albert Mackety "from the Huron River group" as a party plaintiff. Thereafter the defendant filed opposition to the petitioners' motion on January 14, 1960.

On January 3, 1961, the Commission heard full argument on all the motions. The matters involved herein have now been argued and briefed, and the Commission, having been fully advised in the premises, has concluded, for the reasons stated in the opinion this day filed herein, which opinion is hereby made a part of this order, that the petitioners' motion to insert the name of Albert Mackety as a party plaintiff in the petitions in the above dockets should be denied, and that defendant's identical motions to dismiss the petitions in the above dockets should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioners' motion to insert the name of Albert Mackety in the petitions in the above dockets be, and the same is hereby denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's identical motions to dismiss the petitions in the above dockets be, and the same are hereby granted.

Dated at Washington, D. C., this 14th day of October, 1964.

Arthur V. Watkins  
Chief Commissioner

Wm. M. Holt  
Associate Commissioner